# Regionally coupled ocean atmosphere modeling in Tropical Atlantic

Dmitry Sein<sup>1</sup>, William Cabos<sup>2</sup>, Kevin I. Hodges<sup>3</sup>, Uwe Mikolajewicz<sup>1</sup>, Kevin Sieck<sup>1</sup> and Daniela Jacob<sup>1</sup>

1 - Max Planck Institute for Meteorology, 2 - University of Alcala, 3 - Environmental Systems Science Center, University of Reading



## 5. TROPICAL ATLANTIC MODEL VALIDATION. REMO



In Figure 5 we represent the precipitation simulated by the REMO-ARR/MPIOM-TR04 setup for different resolutions with the REMO standard parametrization (first run). The coupled model reproduces the main features of the Tropical Atlantic rainfall However, the model precipitation is too strong over the ITCZ, eastern South America and the African rain belt



0.5 1 3 5 7 9 11 mm/day Fig.5 Mean total precipitation. Upper: combined CRU/HOAPS climatology. Lower: 10 years mean tained from different model resolution, first run





Fig.6 Total precipitation. 10 years mean obtained from different model resolution, second run



To reduce the modelled precipi tation over ITCZ some parameters scale the subgrid cloud formation were changed and a second simulation was carried ou (second run).

shows the Figure 6 tota precipitation in two runs with the changed cloud parametrization We can see an overall decrease of precipitation, with its significant improvement over the eastern South America and the Africar rain belt.

On Figure 7 we can see th impact of cloud parametrization changes on the 2m temperature The temperature in the northern tropical Atlantic becomes coole and the warm bias over Guinea Coast and Central Africa is reduced

### 6. TROPICAL ATLANTIC MODEL VALIDATION. MPIOM



domain in the three configurations (Fig.8). The

differences seen in the northern North Atlantic

reflect the southward shift of the North Atlantic current in MPIOM. The influence of the location of

coupled area on the simulated SST at the

southeastern tropical is illustrated on Figure 8 b, c.

As shown in Figure 9, the model simulates the sign and magnitude of the SST gradient (42.5W - 7.5W)

well, even if the mean SST is lower than the

Fig.10 shows a significant reduction of the bias in

changes in the cloud parametrization

Fig.8 Mean (1980-1999) SST difference (Model - PHC). ECHAM6/MPIOM CMIP5 (a), REMO-DEP/MPIOM-TRO8 (b), REMO-ARR/MPIOM-TR08 (c), The mean SST bias is less than 1K in most of the



Fig.10 Mean SST difference (Model - PHC). the southeastern tropical Atlantic region due to the

Second run.

#### REFERENCES

Aldrian E., D.V.Sein, D.Jacob, L.D.Gates and R.Podzun. Modelling of Indonesian Rainfall with a Coupled Regional Model (2005), Climate Dynamics 25, pp. 1-17 Manganello, J. V., and Coauthors, 2012: Tropical Cyclone Climatology in a 10-km Global

observed.

Atmospheric GCM: Toward Weather-Resolving Climate Modeling. J. Climate, 25, 3867-3893. Paeth, H. & Hense, A. (2004): SST versus climate change signals in West African rainfall: 20th century variations and future projections. *Climatic Change* **65**, 179-208. Sutton, R.T. & Hodson, D.L.R. (2005): Atlantic Ocean Forcing of North American and European

Summer Climate. Science 309, 115-118.





# Max-Planck-Institut für Meteorologie

#### Aknowleaments

This work was funded by the BMBF within the project DEPARTURE. All simulations were performed at the DKRZ in the project bm0779.