Internal- and intra-model variability in CMIP5 interactive carbon cycle projections of fossil fuel CO₂ invasion into the ocean

Hongmei Li, Tatiana Ilyina, Katharina Six, Joachim Segschneider

Max Planck Institute for Meteorology, Hamburg, Germany (Contact: hongmei.li@zmaw.de)

Introduction	Models and simulations	Conclusions	
A new set of CMIP5 simulations with interactive carbon cycle (ICC) includes prognostic carbon fluxes between the atmosphere and ocean and land reservoirs which affect the atmospheric CO_2 concentration and hence climate. It allows us to investigate climate-carbon cycle feedback mechanisms within the Earth System. In ICC simulations minor deficits of processes within	We use simulations from the following seven Earth System models within the CMIP5 framework, i.e., CanESM2, GFDL-ESM2G, GFDL-ESM2M, IPSL-CM5A-LR, MIROC-ESM, HadGEM2-ES, and MPI-ESM-LR. MME is short for multi-model ensemble. Table 1. Summary of CMIP5 simulations. Experiments name with "esm" mark ICC runs forced by emissions, others are PAC runs forced by CO ₂ concentration.	 The ICC simulations show higher CO₂ concentrations in lower atmosphere especially over the northern hemisphere. This is a combined effect of the forcing by emissions and climate-carbon cycle feedbacks. It triggers a different land-sea thermal contrast, resulting in different atmospheric and oceanic circulation and a warmer climate. The ocean in ICC run takes up more CO₂ from the atmosphere, shows higher pCO₂ and lower pH at ocean surface as the atmospheric pCO₂ is increasing, which becomes apparent from the 1940s. 	
due to the coupling and therefore add further	Experiment Time period CO ₂ forcing	□ Larger differences of pH between ICC and PAC simulations are	
uncertainties to climate projections. We	Cntl 1850+500yrs Preindustrial CO ₂ concentration	located in North Pacific and North Atlantic, where model spread is also	
investigate internal- and intra-model variability	esmCntl 1850+500yrs No CO ₂ emissions	larger than in other regions.	
by comparing simulations with ICC versus	Hist. 1850-2005 Historical CO ₂ concentration	\Box The ICC simulations show larger interannual variability of global CO ₂	
simulations with prescribed atmospheric CO ₂	esmHist. 1850-2005 Historical CO ₂ emissions	flux due to the less constrained carbon cycle. Also most models show	

concentrations (**PAC**) provided by seven Earth System models within the CMIP5 framework.

RCP8.5	2006-2100	High CO ₂ scenario
esmRCP8.5	2006-2100	High CO ₂ scenario

larger or comparable interannual variability of CO₂ flux in the preindustrial and historical simulations than in the RCP8.5 simulations.

Temporal evolution: Hist.+RCP8.5

The simulations with ICC produce a slightly different oceanic carbon cycle:

- Ocean takes up more CO_2 from atmosphere (0.25 Pg C yr⁻¹);
- Ocean surface pCO_2 is higher (80 ppm);
- Ocean surface pH values are lower (0.03 unit).

Numbers in brackets show differences between ICC and PAC by year 2100.





The temporal variability is expressed by standard deviation. Upper triangle: ICC

The majority of models

shows that the ICC

simulations produce

interannual variability

in global integrated

Larger interannual

Cntl and Hist.

simulations.

variability is found in

larger total and

 CO_2 flux.

Temporal variability: global accumulation and regional mean (MPI-ESM-LR)

Lower triangle: PAC

Table2. Standard deviation of oceanic pCO_2 , DIC, and pH in observations and MPI-ESM-LR simulation.

Var.	Data	BATS	НОТ	ESTOC
pCO ₂ - [ppm] -	Obs	30.38	17.20	23.28
	Hist.	32.79	16.34	22.16
	esmHist.	32.21	14.37	20.16
DIC [umol/ kg]	Obs	28.06	16.4	7.96
	Hist.	13.67	18.28	10.39
	esmHist.	13.71	15.13	9.43
рН	Obs	0.031	0.018	0.023
	Hist.	0.037	0.017	0.023
	esmHist.	0.034	0.014	0.021

MPI-ESM-LR fairly well reproduces the temporal variability of in-situ ocean carbon parameters.

Spatial variability: comparison of spatial distribution and regional variability

Ocean surface pH (2091-2100 mean): esmRCP8.5-RCP8.5











Variability of regional mean CO₂ flux from MPI-ESM-LR

simulation

(decadal mean is removed)

Larger variability is found in

high latitude Southern Ocean,

tropical Atlantic, Tropical Indian,

and North Atlantic regions in

the **MPI-ESM-LR** simulations.

g C m⁻² yr⁻¹

0.6

Lower pH values are found in ICC simulations from all the models except for IPSL-CM5A-LR. The largest differences between ICC and PAC simulations are located in the North Pacific and North Atlantic, where model spread is also larger than in other regions.

Projected differences in pCO₂, climate and circulation: esmRCP8.5 – RCP8.5

Atm. pCO₂ concentration (925 hPa): 2091-2100

Surface air temperature and circulation: 2091-2100

Pacific





Temporal evolution of global integrated CO₂ flux (upper panel), global mean ocean surface pCO₂ (middle panel), and global mean ocean surface pH (lower panel). Simulations with ICC (PAC) are shown with solid (dashed) curves. The inner boxes show enlarged time slices, note different scales are used.

The CO₂ concentration in the lower atmosphere is higher in ICC simulations, which triggers a different landsea thermal contrast, produces a warmer climate and a slightly different large scale circulation.



Acknowledgement: We thank all the people of the Max Planck Institute for Meteorology and the German Climate Computing Center (DKRZ) who worked on the development of models used in the CMIP5 experiments. We acknowledge the seven climate modeling groups listed in the model section for producing and making available their model output. Thanks to Dr. Laurent Bopp, Dr. Spencer Liddicoat, Dr. Michio Kawamiya, and Dr. Jim Christian for interpreting their model output. This study is a contribution to EU FP7 projects CARBOCHANGE (Grant no. 264879), Combine (Grant no. 226520), and EPOCA (grand no. 211384).

