# Radiative Forcing and Climate Impact of explosive Central American Volcanic Arc Eruptions for the last 200 ka

# GEOMAR

Metzner, Doreen <sup>1</sup>, S. Kutterolf <sup>1</sup>, M. Toohey <sup>1</sup>, C. Timmreck <sup>2</sup>, U. Niemeier <sup>2</sup>, A. Freundt <sup>1</sup>, and K. Krüger <sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> GEOMAR | Helmholtz Centre for Ocean Research Kiel, Kiel, Germany <sup>2</sup> Max-Planck-Institute for Meteorology, Hamburg, Germany

### **Motivation/Background**

Explosive volcanic eruptions, especially those in the tropics, have a significant impact on global climate, which results from their stratospheric injection of SO<sub>2</sub>. The adjacent formation of stratospheric sulfate aerosols leads to scattering of the incoming solar radiation back to space, therewith to a cooling at the Earth's surface. To evaluate the climatic response to paleo-volcanic eruptions state-of-the-art climate models are employed. These models need a volcanic forcing data set as input, which could be either a direct reduction in global radiative forcing (RF) as used for paleo simulations (Jansen et al., 2007), or latitudinally varying aerosol optical depth (ADO) as in the case of IPCC AR4 simulations (Stenchikov et al., 2006). Different independent methods for creating RF are commonly used, encompassing, e.g., atmospheric measurements or analysis of ice core records. Another method for determining the climate impact of large volcanic eruptions in the long-term past is the petrologic method (e.g., Devine et al., 1984) which estimates stratospheric  $SO_2$  injections from a combination of geochemical and volcanological analyses of eruption deposits. In this study we present an unique data set of petrologically estimated SO<sub>2</sub> emissions from 36 detected Plinian volcanic eruptions occurring at the Central American Volcanic Arc (CAVA) during the past 200 ka. Using this record and simple parameterized relationships collected from past studies (e.g., Hyde and Crowley, 2000) we derive estimates of maximum AOD and RF for each eruption. In parallel, AOD and RF time series for selected CAVA eruptions are derived from the SO<sub>2</sub> emission record and simulations with the global aerosol climate model MAECHAM5-HAM. Potential climate impacts are projected using the earth system model of intermediate complexity (EMIC) CLIMBER-2 (Metzner et al., 2012, in revision).

## **SFB 574 Field Measurements**

Phase I: Southern Central America -Nicaragua, Costa Rica and Panama (July 2001 – June 2004).

Phase II: Regional scope expanded to northern segment of the Central American subduction zone - El Salvador, Honduras

93° y 92° 91° 90° 89° 88° 87° 86° 85° 84° 83°W 10° y Caribbean Sea 0 100 km 10° y 10° y

Fig. 1: Shaded and colored SRTM (Shuttle Radar Mission) Topography elevation model of Central (NASA/JPL/NGA, America and high-resolution 2000) bathymetry along the Middle America Trench (MAT) from Kutterolf et al. (2008b). The line of Central American arc volcanoes runs through the two large lakes and parallel to the trench at about 200 distance. km Names of numbered volcano complexes and tephras are listed at lower left.



### **Measurement Methods**



Solid gray line indicates tropopause height, dashed gray line the eruption threshold at 24 km altitude.

#### **Dating method**

Radiogenic decay of carbon-14 (up to 50 ka).
Radiogenic dating with Ar/Ar, K/Ar, U/Th (>50 ka).
Sedimentation rates in marine sediments.

 $\rightarrow$  dating error depends on the method used and on how far back the volcanic eruption reaches.



#### 4 4.5 5 5.5 6 6.5 7 7.5 8 Magnitude (M)

•Since magmatic sulfur concentrations typically vary over an order of magnitude, but erupted magma masses vary over several order of magnitudes, emitted masses of  $SO_2$  generally increase with eruption magnitude.

•Variation around the regression through our data for any given magnitude covers one order of magnitude in SO<sub>2</sub> emissions.

•Comparison with Pyle et al. (1996), who used satellite data to correlate  $SO_2$  emissions with eruption magnitudes, provides an estimate of potential underestimation of  $SO_2$  emissions by the petrological method.

## **Volcanic Forcing**

•Based on petrologically estimated SO<sub>2</sub> emissions, and parameterized relationships collected from past studies and tested with the global aerosol climate model MAECHAM5-HAM (Niemeier et al., 2009), we derive (Fig. 9 & 10):



**Fig. 9:** Time series of 36 CAVA eruptions for the last 200 ka, according to their max. global mean AOD

#### **Calculation of mass-based RF time series**

Max. RF is derived from max. global mean AOD.
RF is assumed to increase linearly to its max. value (Fig. 9 & 10, Tab. 1) over 4 months, subsequently decays with an e-folding lifetime of 12 months.
From the monthly mean RF time series we calculated the annual mean RF for each year after the eruption assuming an eruption time of June.

#### **Calculation of model-based RF time series**

Taking the same calculations, but using modelled monthly mean and latitudinally resolved AOD and calculating the global mean AOD value, we derived the monthly mean model-based RF time series.
E-folding lifetime varies according to the strength of SO<sub>2</sub> emission

•Annual mean RF time series are derived in the same

# **Global Climate Impact/Conclusion**



**Fig. 11:** Max. global/annual mean SAT anomaly (K) calculated with CLIMBER-2 for different stratospheric  $SO_2$  injections (Mt) taken as in Figure 10. Open red circles show results for simulations forced with mass-based RF, blue

•The EMIC CLIMBER-2 (Petoukhov et al., 2000) is used for volcanicclimate simulations using the massbased and modeled RF time series, respectively.

With increasing SO<sub>2</sub> emissions stronger surface cooling (Fig. 11).
Simulated max. surface air temperature (SAT) anomalies depend on which RF time series is used varying between 2.1 and 3.1 K (Tab. 1).

•Corresponding max. duration of temperature response varying between ~60 and 90 years (Fig. 12, Tab. 1).

•Duration of climate disturbance for

(red bars) and max. global mean RF (W/m<sup>2</sup>) (blue bars).



**Fig. 10:** Max. global/monthly mean AOD as function of stratospheric  $SO_2$  injection (Mt), as described by parameterized relationship (red line). Open circles show results from MAECHAM5-HAM eruption simulations with  $SO_2$  injections corresponding to those in Tab. 1. Linear relationship used for  $SO_2$ injections <4 Mt is extrapolated to higher  $SO_2$ injections (dashed line) for comparison.

#### way as for the mass-based RF.

•RF time series are used as forcing for climate simulations with the EMIC CLIMBER-2.

Overview of volcanic forcing and global climate impact

Tephra	Acronym	Age	$30_2$	Max. stratospheric	Max. RF	SAT anomaly	Duration of SAT
		(ka)	(Mt)	AOD	$(W/m^2)$	(K)	signal (yr)
Santa Maria (GUA)	SMT	0.1	3.07	0.039	-0.94	-0.08   -0.05	1   2
U. Apoyeque Pumice (NCA)	UAQ	12.4	1.46	0.019	-0.45	-0.04   -0.02	<1   <1
U. Apoyo Tephra (NCA)	UAT	24.5	44.56	0.359	-8.62	-0.65   -0.47	10   11
I-Tephra (GUA)	IFT	<b>40</b>	0.42	0.005	-0.13	-0.01   -0.01	<1   <1
E-Fall (GUA)	EFT	51	18.35	0.186	-4.47	-0.38   -0.24	5   7
Los Chocoyos (GUA)	LCY	<b>84</b>	686.59	2.370	-56.89	-2.12   -3.10	90   61
hypothetical eruption	170 MT		170	0.918	-22.02	-1.20   -1.01	26   23

**Tab. 1:** Selected volcanic eruptions taken for volcanic climate modelling ordered by age (ka). Included are the  $SO_2$  release (Mt), the max. stratospheric AOD, the max. RF (W/m<sup>2</sup>), the surface air temperature (SAT) anomaly (K), and the duration of the temperature signal (yr). In the last two columns first values are derived using the mass-based RF time series, the second values indicate the results using the model-based RF time series.

## References

Carey and Sparks, *Bull. Volcanol.*, 48 (1986); Deligne et al., *J. Geophys. Res.*, 115, B06203 (2010); Devine et al., *J. Geophys. Res.*, 89 (1984); Hyde and Crowley, *J. Clim.*, 13 (2000); Jansen et al., in Climate Change 2007: The physical Science Basis (2007); Kutterolf et al., *Geochem. Geophys. Geosystem*, 9(2) (2008b); Metzner et al., under revision (2012); Niemeier et al., *ACP*, 9 (2009); Pyle et al., *Bull., Volcanol.*, 57 (1996); Petoukhov et al., *Clim. Dyn.*, 16 (2000); Stenchikov et al., *J. Geophys. Res.*, 114, D16104 (2009); Stenchikov et al., *J. Geophys. Res.*, 111, D07107 (2006); Thompson et al., *J. Clim.*, 22 (2009).

# contact: dmetzner@geomar.de

# crosses represent simulations forced with modeled RF.



**Fig. 12:** Duration (yr) of simulated SAT signal according to Figure 11. Results are shown for global/annual mean SAT anomalies  $\geq 0.05$  K, duration for IFT and UAQ is <1 yr and can therefore not be included. Thin dashed gray line shows the recurrence time for SO<sub>2</sub> emissions given by the magnitude-SO<sub>2</sub> fit for Fig. 8 applying global data by Deligne et al. (2010).

any stratospheric  $SO_2$  injection remains significantly shorter than the recurrence time of such a  $SO_2$ emission

→ cumulative climate effect of successive eruptions seems unlikely

•Qualitative good agreement between E-Fall eruption (18 Mt SO<sub>2</sub>) yielding 0.24-0.38 K global cooling, and temperature anomalies analyzed for the 1<sup>st</sup> year after the Mt. Pinatubo eruption (~17 Mt SO<sub>2</sub>).

•Relaxation (5-7 years) of post E-Fall eruption temperature anomalies consistent with the one of Mt. Pinatubo eruption.

→ less complex ESM CLIMBER-2 is able to simulate global climate response in agreement with other observational and model studies (Thompson et al, 2009; Stenchikov et al., 2009).

 Presented results can be used to calculate volcanic forcings and potential climate impacts from sulfur emissions, sulfate aerosols or ADO data for any eruption that reached the stratosphere.



